

Research Using The Stringmol Artificial Chemistry

Edward Clark, Simon Hickinbotham, Adam Nellis

YCCSA, University of York, Heslington, York YO1 5DD, UK email: sjh@cs.york.ac.uk



EPSRC grant no. EP/F031033/1

Summary

Artificial Life (ALife) is a bottom-up approach to Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Artificial Chemistry (AChem) is a bottom-up approach to building ALife

- We have devised an artificial chemistry that is encoded such that it can evolve
- A novel combination of a stochastic chemistry model with Instruction-Set based A-Life
- We have implemented a string-based molecular analogue which does
 - mutation-on-copy
 - specific binding
 - stochastic reaction chemistry

Metabolic model





・ロト・母ト・ヨト・ヨー うべや

Demo 1: Replicase population

''http://www.plazzmid.org/RUTSAC11/ StringmolWeb/StableVersion/index.html''

''http://tinyurl.com/3b997dy''

Stringmol



- String-based programming language
- Stochastic chemical "mixer"
- Probabilistic binding functionality
- Smith-Waterman-like alignments
- Two symbol types:
 - Templates $T = \{ \mathbf{A}, \dots, \mathbf{Z} \}$
 - Functional: $\Phi = \{ (\$', `>', ``, `?', `=', `\$', `\}' \}$
- Four pointer types: Instruction; Flow; Read; Write

Why the emphasis on soft binding?

- Basis of all interesting DNA interactions
- Transposons, promotors etc. all use specific binding
- Therefore *necessary* for phenotypic control of gene expression



Binding molecules

- Bind probability is a function of alignment length and accuracy
- Bind location determines:
 - which molecule is "active"
 - where the reaction-program commences (positions pointers)



Pointers

Pointers run the "code" of a reaction: Four pointer types:

- I: Instruction points at the next code to be executed; increments
- F: Flow moves around via '\$' operator; other pointers can then follow
- R: Read as part of the '=' operator the code source
- W: Write as part of the '=' operator the code sink



Mutation On Copy

- The '=' instruction copies from the Read pointer to the Write pointer
- This has a small chance of *error* (p = 0.0001)
- mutation to "next door" symbols on a pre-arranged sequence
- Mutation rate per molecule is a function of string length
- The basis of all changes in the system triggers cascades of mutation



Worksheet

Let's now go to the website, and build our own molecules.

''http://www.plazzmid.org/RUTSAC11/
StringmolWeb/StableVersion/index.html''



Setting up a single trial

- 400 identical "seed" replicases
- Stochastic chemical simulation
- Limited energy per time-step
- Constant decay rate for all molecules
- Survival via (inexact) copying
- Run until no stringmols remain in the system

Single trial example...



Epochs of different dominant molecules are evident

- A: Characteristic sweep
- B: Slow sweep
- C: Subpopulations
- D: Multi-species hypercycles

Observations of an individual trial



- ▶ **31:** persists for 9*x*10⁶ time steps
- H: Hypercycle emerges
- Partners in this hypercycle do not self-copy

Origin of the macro mutation

A mutation in the functional region causes a double-length molecule to be created

```
030 OBEQBXUUUDYG...P^B>C$=?>$$BLUBO$}OYHOOBEQBXUUUDYGRHBBOSEOLHHHRLUEUOBLROORE$BLUBO^B>C$=?>$
Bind site: |------|
009 OBEQBXUUUDYGRHBBOSEOLHHHRLUEUOBLROORE$BLUBO^B>C$=?>$$BLUBO$}OYHOB
Product: |------|
031 BBOSEOLHHHRLUEUOBLROORE$BLUBO^B>C$=?>$$BLUBO$}OYHOB
```

- Longer alignment with centre of species 030.
- Species 009 is the template, species 030 is active
- First binding site on 009 is not copied species 031 is created

Repeated mutation \rightarrow rich behaviour



(ロト・酒・・ヨ・・ヨ・・ヨー 少々で

Reactions in hypercycle partners



Tracking the dominant mutations...

BOREOLHHHRLUEUOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO%)OYHOB 003 009 OBEOBXUUUDYGRHBBOSEOLHHHRLUEUOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO%)OYHOB a HIRLUEUOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO% OYHOB 046 OBEOBXUUUUUYGRHBBOSEOLHHIRLUDUOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO%)OYHOB 057 OBEOBXUUUDYGRHBBOSEOLHHIRLUDVOBLROORE\$BLUBO^B>C\$=?>\$\$BLUBO%)OYHOB 087 OBEOBXUUUEYGRHBBOSEOLHHIRLUDVOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO%)OYHOB 092 OBFORXUUUEYGRE IRLUDVOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO%}0YHOB BOSEOLHH ค 112 OBFOBXUUUEYHRHBBOSEOLHHIRLUDVOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO%)OYHOB 129 OBFRBXUUUEYHRH\$BOSEOLHHIRLUDVOBLROORE\$BLUBO^B>C\$=?>\$\$BLUBO%}OYHOB 135 OBFRBYUUUEYHRHBBOSEOLHHIRLUDVOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO%)OYHOB 143 OBFRBYUUUEYHRHBBOREOLHHIRLUDVOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO%)OYHOB 156 OBFRBYUUUEYHRHBBOREOLHHIRMUDVOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO%)OYHOB 189 YUUUEYHRHBBOREOLHIIRMUDVOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO%)OYHOB ค BOREOLGIIRMTDVOBLROORESBLUBO^B>CS=?>SSBLUBO% IOYHOB 259 OBFRBYUUUEYHRHBBOREOLGIISMTDVOBLROORE\$BLUBO^B>C\$=?>\$\$BLUBO%)OYHOB

Evolution of binding



Time steps (1,000)

Summary

Artificial Life (ALife) is a bottom-up approach to Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Artificial Chemistry (AChem) is a bottom-up approach to building ALife

- We have devised an artificial chemistry that is encoded such that it can evolve
- A novel combination of a stochastic chemistry model with Instruction-Set based A-Life
- We have implemented a string-based molecular analogue which does
 - mutation-on-copy
 - specific binding
 - stochastic reaction chemistry



Research Using The Stringmol Artificial Chemistry

Edward Clark, Simon Hickinbotham, Adam Nellis

YCCSA, University of York, Heslington, York YO1 5DD, UK email: sjh@cs.york.ac.uk



EPSRC grant no. EP/F031033/1